



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC
(ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY)
301 GRANT STREET, 20TH FLOOR
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219**

COPY MAILED

AUG 03 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Lhing-Yew Li et al
Application No. 10/067,974
Filed: February 8, 2002
Attorney Docket No. 030738

:
: **DECISION ON PETITIONS**
: **UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) AND**
: **UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)**
:

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6), filed June 14, 2005, , to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) for the benefit of the prior-filed applications set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petitions are **GRANTED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed applications is submitted after expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii) and 1.78(a)(5)(iii). Therefore, this is a proper petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6).

The petition complies with the requirements for a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) in that (1) a reference to the prior-filed applications has been included in an amendment

to the first sentence of the specification following the title, as provided by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii) and 1.78(a)(5)(iii); (2) the surcharge fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(t) has been previously submitted; and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay has been previously submitted. Accordingly, having found that the instant petition for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) to the prior-filed applications satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6), the petition is granted.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed applications under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) should not be construed as meaning that the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the prior-filed applications. In order for the instant application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) and under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(4) and (a)(5) must be met. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether the instant application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

A corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed applications, accompanies this decision on petition.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Wan Laymon at (571) 272-3220. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 1652 for appropriate action on the amendments filed June 14, 2005, including consideration by the examiner of the claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) for the benefit of the prior-filed nonprovisional application, and for consideration of the claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(5) for the benefit of the prior-filed provisional applications.



Frances Hicks
Lead Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

ATTACHMENT Corrected Filing Receipt